Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

**AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIMS:** 

1. (original) A method for analyzing ocular and/or head orientation characteristics of a driver of

a vehicle, said method comprising:

detecting and quantifying the position of a driver's head relative to the space within a

passenger compartment of a vehicle;

providing a reference-base position of a driver's head thereby enabling the cross-reference

of locations of areas/objects-of-driver-interest relative thereto; and

normalizing said quantification of the position of the driver's head to said reference-base

position thereby enabling deducement of location(s) of driver interest based on sensed

information regarding at least one of (1) driver ocular orientation and (2) driver head orientation.

2. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

preferentially utilizing sensed information regarding driver ocular orientation as basis for

said deducement of location(s) of driver interest; and

switching to utilization of sensed information regarding driver head orientation as basis

for said deducement of location(s) of driver interest when the quality of said sensed information

regarding driver ocular orientation degrades beyond a prescribed threshold gaze confidence level.

3. (original) The method as recited in claim 2, wherein said threshold gaze confidence level is

exceeded when the driver's eyes are occluded.

-2-

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

4. (original) The method as recited in claim 2, wherein said threshold gaze confidence level is

exceeded when the driver's head orientation departs away from an eyes-forward orientation

beyond an allowed degree of deviation.

5. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

utilizing a mathematic transformation for accomplishing said normalization of said

quantification of the position of the driver's head to said reference-base position.

6. (original) The method as recited in claim 5, further comprising:

performing said mathematic transformation using a vehicle-based computer on a

substantially real time basis.

7. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

prescribing probable positions of areas/objects-of-driver-interest relative to said

reference-base position.

8. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

defining probable positions of areas/objects-of-driver-interest relative to said reference-

base position based on sensed driver ocular characteristics.

- 3 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

9. (original) The method as recited in claim 8, further comprising:

establishing said definitions of probable positions of areas/objects-of-driver-interest

relative to said reference-base position based on the sensed driver ocular characteristic of gaze

frequency.

10. (original) The method as recited in claim 9, further comprising:

quantifying said establishment of said gaze frequency based on collected gaze density

characteristics.

11. (original) The method as recited in claim, further comprising:

identifying an object-of-driver-interest based on driver ocular characteristics by mapping

said sensed driver ocular characteristics to said prescribed or defined probable locations of

areas/objects-of-driver-interest relative to said reference-base position.

12. (original) The method as recited in claim 11, further comprising:

tailoring prescribed functionalities performed by the vehicle based on said mapped driver

ocular characteristics.

13. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said sensed information regarding

driver ocular orientation is exclusively constituted by a measure of gaze angularity.

-4-

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter *et al.* Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

14. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said sensed information regarding

driver ocular orientation includes a measure of gaze angularity.

15. (original) The method as recited in claim 13, wherein said measure of gaze angularity is

derived from a sensed eyeball-orientation-based gaze-direction vector.

16. (original) The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

defining probable positions of areas/objects-of-driver-interest relative to said reference-

base position based on sensed head orientation.

17. (original) The method as recited in claim 16, further comprising:

establishing said definitions of probable positions of areas/objects-of-driver-interest

relative to said reference-base position based on sensed head orientation from which a face-

forward direction is deduced.

18. (original) The method as recited in claim 17, further comprising:

collecting a plurality of data points, each referencing a particular sensed head orientation

and hence a face-forward direction, and based upon said data points, establishing density

mappings indicative of frequency at which a driver looks in a certain direction.

- 5 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

19. (original) The method as recited in claim 16, further comprising:

identifying an object/area-of-driver-interest by correlating said mapping to

prescribed/defined probable locations of areas/objects-of-driver-interest relative to said reference-

base position.

20. (original) The method as recited in claim 19, further comprising:

tailoring prescribed functionalities performed by the vehicle based on said correlation.

21. (original) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein said sensed information regarding

head orientation is exclusively constituted by a measure of face-forward direction angularity.

22. (original) The method as recited in claim 16, wherein said sensed information regarding

head orientation includes a measure of face-forward direction angularity.

23. (original) The method as recited in claim 21, wherein said measure of gaze angularity is

derived from a sensed head-orientation-based gaze-direction vector.

CLAIMS 24 - 66 (Cancelled)

- 6 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al.

Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

67. (new) A method for developing a bench-mark for comparison in assessing driver activity

and/or driver condition, said method comprising:

collecting a stream of gaze-direction data based on a sensed characteristic of a driver;

ascertaining a region representative of typical eyes-forward driving based on a high-

density pattern assessed from said collected gaze-direction data; and

utilizing said collected gaze-direction data, in comparison to said ascertained

representative region of typical eyes-forward driving, to identify and assess the severity of at least

one of the following driver impairment characteristics based on said comparison: (1) cognitive

driver distraction, (2) visual driver distraction, and (3) high driver work load.

68. (new) The method as recited in claim 67, further comprising:

utilizing measures of at least one of (1) driver ocular orientation and (2) driver head

orientation to constitute said gaze-direction data.

69. (new) The method as recited in claim 67, further comprising:

calculating a percentage road center (PRC) driver characteristic based on the relative

amount of time, during a prescribed time period, that eyes-forward driving is maintained by the

driver.

70. (new) The method as recited in claim 69, further comprising:

assessing a condition of high driver work load based on a PRC calculation indicative of

high driver time-sharing activity.

- 7 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

71. (new) The method as recited in claim 69, further comprising:

assessing a condition of cognitive driver distraction based on a PRC calculation

sufficiently high to be indicative of driver preoccupation characterized by staring ahead in the

eyes forward orientation with insufficient looking away.

72. (new) The method as recited in claim 69, further comprising:

assessing a condition of visual driver distraction based on a PRC calculation sufficiently

low to be indicative of driver attention diversion characterized by looking away from the eyes

forward orientation for too great a percentage of the prescribed time period.

73. (new) The method as recited in claim 67, further comprising:

adjusting an area of said ascertained region representative of typical eyes-forward driving

dependent upon a sensed driving condition.

74. (new) The method as recited in claim 73, wherein said sensed driving condition is at least

one of (1) vehicle speed and (2) driving environment.

75. (new) The method as recited in claim 67, further comprising:

logging identified incidents of cognitive distraction, visual distraction and high driver

workload.

-8-

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

76. (new) The method as recited in claim 75, further comprising: storing said logged identified incidents for future analysis.

77. (new) The method as recited in claim 67, further comprising:

transmitting said logged identified incidents to a processor for further analysis.

78. (new) The method as recited in claim 77, further comprising:

performing said transmittal and said analysis on a real-time basis.

79. (new) The method as recited in claim 69, further comprising:

providing driver feedback when a PRC-based severity quantification of driver impairment exceeds a prescribed severity threshold level.

80. (new) The method as recited in claim 69, further comprising:

tailoring prescribed functionalities performed by the vehicle when a PRC-based severity quantification of driver impairment exceeds a prescribed severity threshold level.

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

81. (new) A method for developing a bench-mark for comparison in assessing driver activity

and/or driver condition, said method comprising:

collecting a stream of gaze-direction data based on a sensed characteristic of a driver, and

based on density patterns developed therefrom, defining at least two areas of driver interest;

analyzing said stream of collected gaze-direction data utilizing a primary moving time-

window of prescribed period; and

detecting multiple driver glances between said at least two target areas within said

primary moving time-window indicative of an occurrence of high driver time-sharing activity.

82. (new) The method as recited in claim 81, further comprising:

identifying periods of high driver workload based on a frequency of threshold-exceeding

occurrences of driver time-sharing activity.

83. (new) The method as recited in claim 81, further comprising:

refreshing said primary moving time-window upon the detection of cessation of an

occurrence of driver time-sharing activity.

- 10 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

84. (new) A method for automated analysis of eye movement data, said method comprising:

processing data descriptive of eye movements observed in a subject using a computer-

based processor by applying classification rules to the data and thereby identifying at least visual

fixations experienced by the subject;

analyzing gaze-direction information associated with the identified fixations thereby

developing data representative of directions in which the subject visually fixated during the

period of data collection;

segregating the developed data, based at least partially on fixation gaze-direction, into

delimited data sets, each delimited data set representing an area/object-of-subject-interest existing

during the period of data collection, and at least one of said delimited data sets representing a

region of typical eyes-forward driving based on a high-density pattern assessed from said gaze-

direction information; and

calculating a percentage road center (PRC) driver characteristic from the developed data

representing a relative quantification of driver maintained, eyes-forward driving during a

prescribed period of time.

85. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, further comprising:

utilizing said developed data, in comparison to said delimited data set defining the

representative region of typical eyes-forward driving, to identify and assess the severity of at least

one of the following driver impairment characteristics based on said comparison: (1) cognitive

driver distraction, (2) visual driver distraction, and (3) high driver work load.

- 11 -

Confirmation No.: 2636 Applicant: LARSSON, Petter *et al.* 

Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

86. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, further comprising:

identifying glances by applying at least one glance-defining rule to the data, each of said

identified glance encompassing at least one identified fixation.

87. (new) The method as recited in claim 86, further comprising:

basing said at least one glance-defining rule on at least one characteristic selected from

the group including: glance duration, glance frequency, total glance time, and total task time.

88. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, further comprising:

segregating said identified glances into delimited glance sets based at least partially on a

gaze-direction during the respective glance, each of said segregated glance sets representing an

area/object-of-subject-interest existing during the period of data collection.

89. (new) The method as recited in claim 88, further comprising:

assessing a relative density of one glance set in comparison to at least one other glance

set, and based thereupon, identifying the represented area/object-of-subject-interest of the

compared glance set.

- 12 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

90. (new) The method as recited in claim 88, further comprising:

assessing a relative density of at least one glance set among a plurality of glance sets, and

based upon a mapping of said assessed relative density to known relative densities associated

with settings of the type in which the eye movement data was collected, identifying the

represented area/object-of-subject-interest of the compared glance set.

91. (new) The method as recited in claim 88, further comprising:

assessing relative densities of at least two glance sets developed from data descriptive of

eye movements observed in a known setting; and

identifying the represented area/object-of-subject-interest of each of the two compared

glance sets and ascertaining locations of said represented areas/objects-of-subject-interest in the

known setting thereby establishing a special reference frame for the known setting.

92. (new) The method as recited in claim 91, wherein said subject is a driver of a vehicle and

based on a density of at least one of the glance data sets, an eyes-forward, normal driver eye

orientation is deduced.

93. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, wherein said applied classification rules comprise

at least criteria defining fixations and transitions.

94. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, wherein said applied classification rules further

comprise criteria defining saccades.

- 13 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

95. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, wherein said subject is a driver of a vehicle and

the method further comprises utilizing a plurality of analysis protocols dependent upon prevailing

noise characteristics associated with the data set being processed.

96. (new) The method as recited in claim 95, further comprising:

applying a first data filter of predetermined stringency to an input stream of data

comprising said data descriptive of eye movements observed in a driver of a vehicle utilizing said

computer-based processor and therefrom outputting a first filtered data stream corresponding to

said input stream of data; and

assessing quality of said outputted first filtered data stream by applying a first approval

rule thereto, and data of said outputted first filtered data stream passing said first approval rule

being outputted and constituting an approved first stream of data.

97. (new) The method as recited in claim 96, further comprising:

applying a second data filter of greater stringency than said first data filter to the input

stream of data utilizing said computer-based processor and therefrom outputting a second filtered

data stream corresponding to said a first filtered data stream via common derivation from the

input stream of data; and

assessing quality of said outputted second filtered data stream by applying a second

approval rule thereto, and data of said outputted second filtered data stream passing said second

approval rule being outputted and constituting a approved second stream of data.

- 14 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al.

Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

98. (new) The method as recited in claim 97, further comprising:

composing a collective approved stream of data constituted by an entirety of said

approved first stream of data, and said collective approved stream of data being further

constituted by portions of said approved second stream of data corresponding to unapproved

portions of said outputted first filtered data stream.

99. (new) The method as recited in claim 97, wherein said first and second approval rules are

the same.

100. (new) The method as recited in claim 97, wherein said first and second approval rules are

based on the same criteria.

101. (new) The method as recited in claim 95, further comprising:

selecting at least two analysis protocols to constitute said plurality from a group

consisting of: (1) a velocity based, dual threshold protocol that is best suited, relative to the other

members of the group, to low-noise-content eye behavior data; (2) a distance based, dispersion

spacing protocol that is best suited, relative to the other members of the group, to moderate-

noise-content eye and eyelid behavior data; and (3) an ocular characteristic based, rule oriented

protocol that is best suited, relative to the other members of the group, to high-noise-content eye

behavior data.

- 15 -

Confirmation No.: 2636

Applicant: LARSSON, Petter et al. Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

102. (new) The method as recited in claim 101, wherein said selection of protocols for any

given data set is biased toward one of said three protocols in dependence upon a detected noise

level in the data set.

103. (new) The method as recited in claim 101, wherein said rule oriented protocol considers

one or more of the following standards in a discrimination between fixations and saccades: (1)

fixation duration must exceed 150 ms; (2) saccade duration must not exceed 200 ms; and

saccades begin and end in two different locations.

104. (new) The method as recited in claim 95, further comprising:

assessing quality of said data descriptive of eye movement based on relative utilization of

respective analysis protocols among said plurality of analysis protocols.

105. (new) The method as recited in claim 93, further comprising:

assessing quality of said data descriptive of eye movement considering time-based,

relative utilization of respective analysis protocols among said plurality of analysis protocols over

a prescribed time period.

- 16 -

Confirmation No.: 2636 Applicant: LARSSON, Petter *et al.* 

Atty. Ref.: 07589.0068.NPUS01

106. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, further comprising:

analyzing a stream of collected driver eye-gaze data utilizing a stream-traversing primary time-window of prescribed period and detecting an artifact that clouds the trueness of a portion of

the data stream; and

resorting to a secondary moving time-window simultaneously traversing said data stream

and generating highly filtered data from said collected data when said artifact is encountered.

107. (new) The method as recited in claim 84, further comprising:

analyzing a stream of collected driver eye-gaze data utilizing a stream-traversing primary

time-window of prescribed period; and

detecting characteristics within said primary time-window indicative of data quality-

degradation beyond a prescribed quality threshold level during data stream traversal.

108. (new) The method as recited in claim 107, further comprising:

resorting to a secondary moving time-window simultaneously traversing said data stream

and generating highly filtered data from said collected data when said data quality-degradation

exceeds the prescribed quality threshold level.

109. (new) The method as recited in claim 108, further comprising:

returning to said primary moving time-window when said data quality-degradation is

detected to have subsided within the prescribed quality threshold level.

- 17 -